How Infra Sound and Low Frequencies are considered in France ?



FED : National Group of Victims

Patrick Dugast, Engineer acoustic and vibrations.



Glasgow 2017 WT Noise Seminar

22/09/2017

Plan

- Which is Infrasound and LF noise from wind turbine ?
- <u>Academia of Medicine</u>
- New French Norm : Low Frequency measurement
- ANSES National Agency of Health Risk new report
- Works of Paul Schomer
 - <u>US Navy</u>
- Works of Alec Salt
 - Outer Hair cells specific sensitivity
- Conclusion Perspectives

French Academy of Medicine

• Audible threshold 107 dB à 5 Hz

Fréquences en Hz	1	2	4	8	12	16	20
Seuils d'audibilité en dB	120	115	107	98	90	82	75
А							
Tableau 1. Seuils d'audibilité d'après Watanabe et Möller [6]							

- Advise 1500 m for wind turbine > 2,5 MW
- Report March 2006 : Infrasound too low to affect the health. Demand of an epidemiologic study correlated to distance.
- New report 9 May 2017 : necessity to make an epidemiological study
- "Resentment and opposition can affect the health"
- Necessity to control more often the wind farms.



22/09/2017

Expression of Suffering

In January 2016, the French FED (association for victims) has made a large inquiry concerning 1000 persons.



Expression of claims and distress: Wind turbine annoyance is classified in categories :

- Noise
- Infrasound and Low frequencies.
- Others (visual, …)
- This inquiry was Presented at the ANSES in March 2016, but not really taken in consideration



New report January 2017:

- Threshold Salt and Hullar in question.
- ANSES Recommandation :
 - more continuous measurement as for airports
 - Epidemiological study



22/09/2017

Théory of physiologic effects of infrasounds from wind farm

Paul SCHOMER

A Cooperative Measurement Survey and Analysis of low frequency and infrasound at the Shirley Wind Farm in Brown County, Wisconsin.

- 275 peoples living near Shirley Wind farm – 50 peoples have distress
- Vibration sensitivity
- US NAVY sea sickness





<u>Vibration sensitivity versus</u> exposure time From ISO2631.



<u>Sea</u>– nauseagenic criteria -US NAVY Exposure time and neausa %

22/09/2017

Norms and Regulation

New French AFNOR NF-S 31 135

- Frequency domain : 1-25 Hz
- With usual sound meter, first 1/3 octave at 1 Hz (Rion, Norsonic ?, others ?)
- Impulse sounds and periodic sounds analysed separately
- Both Inside home and outside
- Synchronized Vibration measuring is advised

Wind turbine more powerfull

Bigger !

Quieter ...?

Slower !

Lower frequencies

More infrasound… More "nauséagénic" ! (Paul Schomer)

22/09/2017



Noise versus turbine power and size

Wind turbine more powerfull.

More silent ? NOT AT ALL !

Source: Stefan Oerlemans, Peter Fuglsang, "Lownoise wind turbine design", Siemens Wind Power A/S, Siemens AG, at EWEA Noise Workshop, Oxford, 2012, p 11.







22/09/2017

Alec SALT : Infrasound: Your ears "hear" it but they don't tell your brain. Why ?

Alec N. Salt, Département ORL

Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, USA

- 16 000 cells inside Cochlea:
- sensitivity to specific frequency range
 - Inner Hair Cells (IHC) sensitive to speed,
 - Outer Hair Cells (OHC), more sensitive to displacement









22/09/2017

Action on Outer Hair Cells

Alec N. Salt, Départment ORL

- Guniéa Pig
- électrod placed inside endolymph liquid, in the 3 rd cochlear turn
- Infrasound 5 Hz generated at 120 dB
- Recording of electric repsonse of Cells







22/09/2017

Conclusion du docteur Salt

- 1. Infra sound 5 Hz at level 109 dB cannot be "heard", but inner ear resent an impulse higher than from audible sound.
- 2. Additional audible sound at 500 Hz acts as an attenuator on infrasound ear response.



Sensibilité de l'oreille aux infrasons



Wind Turbine Noise Spectra

- Sensibilité de l'oreille 100 dB à 10 Hz, 109 dB à 5 Hz, 120 dB à 3 Hz
 Seuil Inner Hair Cells (IHC).
- Seuil Inner Hair Cells (IHC) 120 dB à 5 Hz
- Seuil Outer Hair cells (OHC), 72 dB à 5 Hz
- Bruit d'une éolienne (Van den Berg 2006) 75 dB à 5 Hz

Dépassement du seuil des cellules externes dès 3 Hz

22/09/2017

Conclusions

- Alec Salt Threshold is seen as a possible alternative to Audible threshold.
 ANSES stays "sceptic", speaks "nocebo", and did not do any serious study.
 - **The new regulation NF S 31 135 for an accurate measurement**
 - Academy of Medecine does not recognise a dangerous risk on health;
 - but recognize physiopathology, and recommends an epidemiological study
 - SALT and Hullar threshold is not rejected.
 - Some progress, but Slow